

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF MINNESOTA

In re: BAYCOL PRODUCTS LITIGATION

MDL No. 1431 (MJD/JGL)

This Document Relates to All Actions

PRETRIAL ORDER 108

Richard A. Lockridge, Lockridge Grindal Nauen, PLLP, and Charles Zimmerman, Zimmerman Reed, PLLP, for and on behalf of Plaintiffs.

Peter W. Sipkins, Dorsey & Whitney LLP; Philip S. Beck, Bartlit Beck Herman Palenchar & Scott; Susan A. Weber, Sidley Austin Brown & Wood; and Gene C. Schaerr, Sidley Austin Brown & Wood, for and on behalf of Bayer Corporation.

Tracy J. Van Steenburgh, Halleland Lewis Nilan Sipkins & Johnson, P.A., and Fred T. Magaziner, Dechert Price & Rhoads, for and on behalf of SmithKline Beecham Corporation d/b/a GlaxoSmithKline.

Warren Lupel, Weinberg Richmond LLP, and Deane B. Brown, Beermann Swerdlove Woloshin Barezky Becker Genin & London, for and on behalf of Kenneth B. Moll & Associates, Ltd.

Before the Court is Lockridge Grindal Nauen P.L.L.P.'s Motion for Extension of Time to File Response to Bayer Defendants' Motion for Sanctions. This motion is unopposed. The motion is granted.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

- (1) Lockridge Grindal Nauen P.L.L.P.'s Motion for Extension of Time to File Response to Bayer Defendants' Motion for Sanctions [No Doc. No.] is **GRANTED**; and
- (2) The briefing schedule on this matter is modified as follows:

- (A) Lockridge Grindal Nauen P.L.L.P. has until February 6, 2004 to file its response to Bayer Defendants' Motion for Sanctions;
- (B) Bayer has until February 13, 2004 to file its reply; and
- (C) The hearing date on this matter remains unchanged. Oral argument will be heard on February 24, 2004 as originally scheduled.

DATED: _____

MICHAEL J. DAVIS
United States District Court