1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
2	DISTRICT OF MINNESOTA		
3			
4	IN RE: TARGET CORPORATION) Case No. 14-MD-2522(PAM/JJK)		
5	CUSTOMER DATA SECURITY BREACH) LITIGATION)		
6			
7) St. Paul, Minnesota This Document Relates to) March 19, 2015 All Actions) 10:04 a.m.		
9)		
10			
11	BEFORE THE HONORABLE PAUL A. MAGNUSON UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE		
12	MOTION REQUESTING PRELIMINARY APPROVAL PROCEEDINGS		
13	APPEARANCES:		
14	FOR THE PLAINTIFFS:		
15	Plaintiffs' Lead Counsel: Zimmerman Reed, PLLP CHARLES S. ZIMMERMAN, ESQ.		
16	BRIAN C. GUDMUNDSON, ESQ. J. GORDON RUDD, ESQ.		
17	1100 IDS Center 80 S. 8th Street		
18	Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402		
19	Chestnut Cambronne, PA KARL L. CAMBRONNE, ESQ.		
20	BRYAN L. BLEICHNER, ESQ.		
21	17 Washington Avenue North Suite 300 Minneapolis, Minnesota 55401-2048		
22	-		
23	Official Court Reporter: JEANNE M. ANDERSON, RMR-RPR Suite 146 U.S. Courthouse 316 North Robert Street		
24	St. Paul, Minnesota 55101		
25	Proceedings recorded by mechanical stenography; transcript produced by computer.		

1	APPEARANCES (Continued):
2	FOR THE PLAINTIFFS:
3	Plaintiffs' Lead Counsel (Continued):
4	Heins Mills & Olson, PLC VINCENT J. ESADES, ESQ.
5	DAVID R. WOODWARD, ESQ. 310 Clifton Avenue
6 7	Minneapolis, Minnesota 55403
8	
9	Plaintiffs' Liaison Counsel:
10	Reinhardt Wendorf & Blanchfield GARRETT D. BLANCHFIELD, JR., ESQ.
L1	332 Minnesota Street, Suite E-1250 St. Paul, Minnesota 55101
L2	Lockridge Grindal Nauen, PLLP
L3	KAREN HANSON RIEBEL, ESQ. 100 Washington Avenue South
L 4	Suite 2200
L5	Minneapolis, Minnesota 55401
L 6	
L7	
L8	
L9	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	APPEARANCES (Continued):	
2	FOR THE DEFENDANTS:	
3		Faegre Baker Daniels LLP WENDY J. WILDUNG, ESQ. 90 S. 7th Street, Suite 2200
5		Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402-3901
6		Ropes & Gray LLP DOUGLAS H. MEAL, ESQ.
7		MICHELLE L. VISSER, ESQ. Prudential Tower
8		800 Boylston Street Boston, Massachusetts 02199-3600
10		Morrison & Foerster LLP DAVID F. MCDOWELL, ESQ.
11		707 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 600 Los Angeles, California 90017-3543
12		nos Angeles, California 90017 3343
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

PROCEEDINGS

IN OPEN COURT

2.2

THE COURT: Good morning, everyone.

ALL COUNSEL: Good morning, Your Honor.

THE COURT: We have the matter of the Target MDL, and a little bit more than just a regular status conference.

And so with that, I suspect, Mr. Esades, you might have something to tell me.

MR. ESADES: Good morning, Your Honor. Before you today we have Consumer Plaintiffs' Motion for Preliminary Approval of a Settlement of all the Consumer Actions in this MDL. The case would settle the Class that is defined in the Complaint, and as also set forth in the settlement materials which is all persons in the United States who use credit or debit card -- whose credit or debit card information, whose personal information was compromised as a result of the data breach that was first disclosed by Target on December 19th, 2013.

The papers that have been submitted outline the various benefits of the settlement, but I thought it might be a good place to start to just generally review them. It falls into, generally, five categories of benefits to the Class.

The first is that Target has agreed to provide a settlement fund of \$10 million. This settlement fund will

be distributed to settlement class members pursuant to the distribution plan, which also accompanies the settlement agreement.

2.2

Also out of this fund will be payment of any service awards to settlement class representatives that may be approved by the Court. And they're set forth in Exhibit 8 to the Settlement Agreement. And I am happy to report that 112 of the 113 named class representatives have given their approval of this settlement. The one that has not, simply has not been able to be located at all of the contact addresses that we have, and we are still trying to locate her. And we expect that when we do locate her, she will also approve, as did all of the others. So, we've got no dissent as of today.

No part of this settlement fund will revert to Target. In other words, every effort will be made to distribute every single dollar to qualifying settlement class members of this \$10 million fund.

The second of benefits is that Target has agreed to nonmonetary relief designed to better safeguard consumer data. Now, for at least five years following the effective date, Target will first appoint a chief information security officer, a high-level executive to take responsibility for its information security.

Second, they will maintain a written information

security program where they will be able to identify internal and external risks to security consumers' personal identifiable information that could result in another breach.

2.2

Third, they will maintain a process to monitor the information security events and respond to such events determined to present a current threat. In other words, they are going to design and implement some reasonable safeguards to control these information security risks.

And finally, they are going to provide security training to Target employees and train the relevant employees to reduce the effects of any breach that might occur to simply stop the breaches in the future. These benefits are going to be available to every single settlement class member, even those that don't qualify for a cash payment.

Third, Target has agreed to separately pay all costs associated with providing notice to the settlement Class. Notice is going to be provided through a third-party Kinsella Media. We have attached Kinsella Media's Affidavit from Shannon Wheatman and also their qualifications. They have served in many, many settlements as a notice administrator and they are quite good. The cost of the notice is going to be around 6 1/2 to 7 million, alone, we are estimating. It could perhaps be more but it depends on

the number of additional mailings and followups that need to be done.

2.2

Number four, Target will separately pay all of the costs of administering the settlement under the distribution plan, including the settlement administrator's fees. The settlement administrator is Rust Consulting. Rust is also nationally recognized and highly qualified and was selected after an arduous bidding process among seven notice administrators. And we spent a great deal of time vetting their proposals and vetting their ability to do this, and also vetting the security that they have with respect to the settlement website that will be set up.

And finally, Target will separately pay attorney's fees and expenses awarded by the Court to Settlement Class Counsel. Under the agreement, that petition to the Court will be made 21 days before the objection and opt-out deadline. So, no request is being made as of yet. But any request may not exceed 6.75 million for attorneys fees and expenses. Target has reserved its right to object to any fee petition that the Plaintiffs put in. And we have agreed that no matter what the disposition is of the fee and expense petition, that it will not affect the finality of the settlement.

In other words, those two have been de-linked. If there are objections or appeals on the attorneys fees and

expenses that Target is going to pay, a settlement could be finally approved and go forward without objection.

2.2

We believe this settlement provides for significant monetary and nonmonetary relief and meets the standards for preliminary approval; and that these benefits compare very favorably to past settlement breach cases that we have studied and looked at and discussed with Target and with the mediator.

One other -- I guess these are logistics. The timing and important dates going forward. Under the Preliminary Approval Order and based on the notice program that we are going to have to put into place, what we would suggest, and if the Court will preliminarily approve the settlement, we will begin to have direct notice beginning about April 30th. So, by April 30th, 2015, the direct notice campaign would begin.

May 31st. And the reason it is somewhat staggered is when you're doing email as part of a mailing, you need to stagger your email drops -- you can't do them all at once -- and then you can certainly identify problems. And they do them in tranches to make sure that you get maximum deliverability of that individual notice. The on-line media will begin on June 1, 2015, and the earned media and outreach would also begin on June 1. Print media would begin on June 16th. And

based on the research of Kinsella Media -- and as you can see in the Affidavit from Shannon Wheatman, the print media would consist of "Better Homes and Gardens" and "People" Magazine. And those aren't randomly selected. They do studies to determine the maximum reach they can get based on the types of consumers they're trying to reach.

2.2

Keeping in mind that we believe we are going to reach an extremely high percentage of the Class members through direct mail and postcard notice, not to mention what is going to be, we believe, expensive press coverage, which started a mere 12 minutes after the first ECF hit the wire last night, I can attest to, as my cell phone rang. We would then set an objection and opt-out deadline for July 31st, 2015, for any Class members.

THE COURT: I'm sorry, counsel. I missed the date. Did you say 21st or 31st?

MR. ESADES: July 31st -- I'm sorry -- if I said
21st --

THE COURT: No, I think you did say 31st. I just didn't catch it. Go ahead.

MR. ESADES: And having done these -- just candidly, having done these cases before, there will be objectors because there are attorneys out there that this is their profession, to object as professionals to these types of proceedings. There may also be other objections, but we

expect that that will happen on that date.

2.2

The motion for final approval, we will submit by September 15th, 2015, which means that the final approval hearing, based on the Court's availability, could take place on October 15th or anytime as close to there as the Court is able to do it.

THE COURT: Counsel, I have got to make a disclosure to you with that statement.

MR. ESADES: Yeah.

THE COURT: If I don't make it now, I might forget to say it. I have committed to a trip to Israel this fall.

I think I will know the dates of that this afternoon or this noon. But as I am here right now, I don't. It is one of those deals where you make a commitment and it is a group thing and, well, we think we might go now, we might go then.

And I have got to get as close as I can to that because I think I can say that if we do this on Tuesday, November 10, I am virtually positive I am going to be clear of it; but, I might be able to do it earlier depending on that Israel thing, but I just don't know for sure. But I will know it very soon and we will get a date in there and let you know.

MR. ESADES: Great. And one of the things that we do disclose, not only on the website but in the notice that goes out, is that the date of that actual hearing may be moved or adjusted by the Court based on availability,

because that is something that does happen. So --

2.2

THE COURT: Well, you know, but then that is kind of the good Lord willing, and the creeks don't rise, because you don't want to play with that date unless it is an absolute necessity.

MR. ESADES: That is true. But, we do like to make sure that people who intend to show up understand that they should check and keep checking to make sure that date states what it is.

The website, as I referred to, will be up and running shortly after -- if the Court grants preliminary approval, we will get that up and running hopefully within a few days. The Order says within 15 days, but we really hope that to be up within a few days, because calls are already starting to start with consumers wanting more information about it. The sort of manner in which these unfold, I think, is important just to walk through. The website will be a static website. There will not be the availability at that point to put up a claim form and have people start processing claims.

And there is a very good reason for that. One is that the settlement administrator says it is a bad idea before you've sent out individual notice to put up a website that people can go to. Because it creates a great deal of activity and confusion before people have actually received

the notice that should be getting the notice explaining what exactly they should be doing.

2.2

So the website will have information about the settlement, will have the notice up and people can also look at the claim form. But the claim submissions won't begin until after or a few days before direct notice is actually going out to the consumers. It will also inform them they should check back at about that time.

The claims process, just to detail that, is basically broken down into two different categories. And that is consumers with -- who have documents demonstrating the losses as a result of the Target breach, and those consumers who either don't have documents or their amounts aren't high enough for them to want to put documents in and they are simply going to self-certify that they have suffered a specific type of injury that is identified in the claim form.

And the claim form sets forth these categories of loss that will mean a Class member qualifies to make a claim. The first is unauthorized unreimbursed charges on a credit or debit card. The second is, time spent addressing unauthorized charges on a credit or debit card. The third is cost to hire someone to help correct a credit report as a result of a problem related to the Target data breach. Higher interest rate on an account or highest interest fees

paid as a result of the breach; loss of access or restricted access to funds as a result of the breach; fees paid on an account, late fees or overdraft fees -- again, that are unreimbursed and caused as a result of the Target breach; credit-related costs for people that went on and purchased their own credit monitoring, identity theft protection or had to place freezes on certain accounts. Any of those costs would qualify, or costs to replace a driver's license or a state identification card. Or there is another category where a Class member could delineate, here is a loss that I suffered of unreimbursed costs that were not listed in your examples that you gave.

2.2

The payments under the -- out of the fund will be made first to those who have documented claims. In other words, if someone has a receipt that shows they shopped at Target and it shows an unauthorized charge that was not reimbursed and can demonstrate documents or other issues that resulted from that unauthorized charge and fees that were unreimbursed, those will receive priority payment because those people, we believe, have the exact proof to prove the losses that they have suffered that have not been reimbursed.

Whatever is left over after payments under the documentary loss category and after any service payments that the Court would award to the Class representatives, the

remainder will be divided for qualifying self-certification claims in equal shares. And that is why I said we hope to be able to distribute the entire remainder to those people. It is impossible to know what that is number is going to be because this Class is inherently self-identifying.

2.2

In other words, if they don't come forward and tell us the type of loss or demonstrate the type of loss they had, we don't know how to otherwise independently identify them, because there are people in the Class who simply didn't have one of these categories of loss.

As I said before, the notice will be handled by Kinsella Media, and it will involve -- the majority will be direct notice. In other words, notice going directly to people with whom Target has identified email addresses or mailing addresses. For people that have not been identified with either of those, that is where we get into the print media and we also get into the banner advertisements, national press releases, and also other sponsored links online.

Rust will also maintain -- the settlement administrator will also maintain a website, in which information about the settlement, information about the claims processing will be available, including frequently asked questions. It will be a fully functioning website.

There will also be a toll free number for people

to call, which will not only have interactive voice recording to answer questions people may have, but they will be able to connect with a live operator if none of those pre-recorded answers satisfy.

2.2

As far as the legal requirements of the settlement, it is set forth in the brief that we filed in support of the motion. We believe that when settling -- a Settlement Class is going to satisfy all of the Rule 23 requirements. And given it is a Settlement Class, does not need to satisfy any of the manageability issues that would normally be imposed in a litigation class.

We also believe that it satisfies the standards for preliminary approval because at this point, the question before the Court is whether this settlement falls within the range of possible final approval. And we believe -- we have set forth these arguments in the brief, that when you weigh the merits of Plaintiffs' case against the settlement terms and the uncertainty of future litigation, the uncertainty of class, the uncertainty of proving a causal connection for the majority of Class members, we believe mitigates in favor of the Court approving this settlement for preliminary approval.

That is all I have.

I only had one other item to reference in the Preliminary Approval Order, Your Honor. We have provided a

space for a nominal bond under Rule 65. This is a little bit of an interesting issue, because in many cases, we believe that the Court, as an MDL court, has broad authority to protect its jurisdiction and to effectuate its jurisdiction in these types of settlements by simply staying all the cases. There is a question of whether that bleeds into Rule 65 or not.

2.2

Rather than get into that philosophical debate, we have provided for a nominal bond, and we think that that short time period between now and an opt-out objection deadline of July 31 is absolutely -- I think will absolutely take care of any issues that could possibly occur. I can't imagine any would, but on the safe side, we provided for it in the Preliminary Approval Order.

THE COURT: Well, I think, counsel -- I appreciate that. And there can be philosophical discussions about this. And it might be more than just philosophical; it may be legal. But the reality is, you read Rule 65, there is a word called "will." And I generally think I know what that means. But, on the other hand, in this type of settlement, that's -- this is not the kind of thing that the drafters were really thinking about in Rule 65 when they put the word "will" in there, I think. And so I don't have a lot of difficulty with this.

MR. ESADES: Yeah. And I think the fact that no

1 one has so much uttered the word that they want to proceed 2 individually in this case on behalf of one consumer -- on 3 behalf of that consumer's damages, I think also supports 4 that reading. 5 THE COURT: Yes. MR. ESADES: I am available for questions right 6 7 now, but that is the basic outline. 8 THE COURT: Okay, thank you very much. 9 Mr. McDowell, would you like to advise me? 10 MR. McDOWELL: I don't have anything to add. 11 think Mr. Esades went through the terms of the settlement. 12 I will advise you that Target does not oppose entry of the Preliminary Approval Order. And as Target is already 13 14 receiving calls about it, we are hopeful that that is 15 sooner, rather than later. 16 THE COURT: So am I. 17 Okay. Thank you, Mr. McDowell. 18 Anybody else, first of all, on the Plaintiffs' 19 side, anyone else that wants to make any comments? And on 20 the Defense side, anybody else want to make any comments? 21 Okay. Well, very well. I guess my reading of 2.2 last night was more than 12 minutes after you filed, but 23 nevertheless, I have had an opportunity to review, so this 24 is not by any means coming as a direct response or a 25 surprise to me of any kind. I am prepared to enter the

Preliminary Order and will do so.

2.2

I have indicated one cautionary -- you know, I am not supposed to give advisory opinions, but I am. I will enter that one cautionary comment and it has to do with this one date. It's the one blank in the whole thing, and then I am the holdup on it. But I tried to find out the answer to that and was not successful. Because, quite frankly, I didn't think about it until yesterday. I just wasn't successful in finding out this morning. So, I think by this afternoon I will have an answer on it. And we will let you know as we enter the Order with respect to that -- with respect to that date.

Let me add a few comments on this preliminary resolution matter. I start by giving a very large thank you to two people that are not here, one of whom you normally see sitting with me, he's out of town, that's Judge Keys, and for the diligent work and effort that he has put into this case. And I would be completely remiss if I did not say a very special thank you to Judge Boylan for his efforts on behalf of this matter.

And then I guess when I put those thank you's in,
I put the thank you's in to all of the lawyers that are
sitting here in front of me. Settlements of cases of this
kind occur, but they don't occur without a lot of hard work.
I respect it, I appreciate it, and I thank you for that hard

work that has gone into this.

2.2

This is not just throwing a dart or flipping a judicial nickel, there's a great deal of effort that goes into this kind of resolution.

And so to the Plaintiffs in taking all of these matters into consideration and working hard on behalf of their clients, which really I think is very commendable when you think about -- when you have 113 named Plaintiffs and 112 of them have given approval to this.

And obviously, they haven't known about it for a long time, I think that's very commendatory. And I certainly understand that that 113th may have moved or whatever happened. I know a little bit about this because we were having our mail forwarded recently and my wife's driver's license expired. Before we got the mail to be forwarded, she had gone in to get the new driver's license. And all of a sudden we discovered she didn't have a driver's license because the Postal Service doesn't forward mail on driver's licenses. So, you run into things like that, and so I understand and respect that.

And then I also just want to take this opportunity to the Target Corporation for resolving this. A, this is a lot of money. But B, we have customers and we have citizens in this community and throughout the country, and I think Target really, really needs to be commended for being

willing to step up in this and get these consumer cases on their way to resolution.

2.2

We all read newspapers. I guess, 12 minutes later -- I get a kick out of that, how fast this world moves. But, Target has had a lot of words in the newspaper recently. And apparently, when I look at the stock value, they are good responses. And I just do really, really commend a good citizen of this community that has done so much in this community in the past and continues to do so, for coming to this preliminary resolution. And time will tell, of course, but I would hope that it will all move in an appropriate direction from this point.

So, with that, I think I will just conclude my comments with thanking you for your comments, and I anticipate that we will be executing an Order with a final approval date very, very soon. So, with that, I thank you. Thank you for coming in today.

I have not opened another door, because I just think that one piece of heavy substantive business is about enough for an agenda on one day; but, I would appreciate it if those counsel that are involved on the financial institution side of this could step back into chambers with me and I could have a brief chat with you in just a few minutes, I would appreciate it.

With all of that, thank you very much. And unless

1	there is something else, we will stand adjourned.
2	Okay, thank you.
3	(Adjournment.)
4	
5	
6	
7	* * *
8	
9	
10	
11	I, Jeanne M. Anderson, certify that the foregoing
12	is a correct transcript from the record of proceedings in
13	the above-entitled matter.
14	
15	
16	Certified by: <u>s/ Jeanne M. Anderson</u> Jeanne M. Anderson, RMR-RPR
17	Official Court Reporter
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	1