## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MINNESOTA

		)	
In re:	Baycol Products Litigation	) ) )	File No. MDL 1431 (MJD/JGL)
		) ) )	Minneapolis, Minnesota April 11, 2005 12:20 p.m.

BEFORE THE HONORABLE MICHAEL J. DAVIS UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

## (STATUS CONFERENCE)

## APPEARANCES

For the Plaintiffs: CHARLES ZIMMERMAN, ESQ.

RICHARD LOCKRIDGE, ESQ.

For Defendant Bayer: PHILIP BECK, ESQ.

SUSAN WEBER, ESQ.

For Defendant FRED MAGAZINER, ESQ.

GlaxoSmithKline:

Court Reporter: LORI A. SIMPSON, RMR-CRR

1005 U.S. Courthouse 300 South Fourth Street

Minneapolis, Minnesota 55415

(612) 664-5104

Proceedings recorded by mechanical stenography; transcript produced by computer.

## 1 PROCEEDINGS 2 IN OPEN COURT 3 THE COURT: Let's call this matter. THE CLERK: Multidistrict Litigation No. 1431, 4 5 In re: Baycol Products. Please state your appearances for the record. 6 7 MR. ZIMMERMAN: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Charles Zimmerman for the PSC. 8 9 MR. LOCKRIDGE: Richard Lockridge for the PSC, 10 Your Honor. 11 MR. BECK: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Phillip 12 Beck for the Bayer defendants. MS. WEBER: Good afternoon. Susan Weber for 13 14 Bayer. 15 MR. MAGAZINER: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Fred 16 Magaziner for Glaxo SmithKline. THE COURT: Mr. Zimmerman. 17 18 MR. ZIMMERMAN: Good afternoon, Your Honor. 19 have provided the Court with a status report and proposed 20 agenda dated today that was filed, I believe, on the 7th 2.1 with the Court. We did have an opportunity to have a meet 2.2 and confer that -- and this agenda and status report is a 23 product of that. 24 Sometimes we don't always agree on the exact 25 language, so there may be a few minor comments, but we will

go through the agenda and each party will -- each side will make comments if they have any. I don't think they will be material.

2.1

2.2

The first item, Your Honor, is pending cases. As of April 6th defendants have been served with 5,776 cases that remain active, down from over 14,500 that were filed since this litigation commenced. Obviously that takes into consideration dismissals and settlements.

There are also statistics on the state court filings that remain, and those numbers are down much more significantly. Of the 5,696 state court filings, only 653 remain active.

So I guess that's what those statistics provide. We have to take into consideration, obviously, that there have been over almost 3,000 settlements that probably go into those figures as well.

As of the last status conference, which I believe was September, although we did have a conference before, Your Honor, I believe in either November or December, it was not a formal status, but as of the last formal status defendants had been served with 6,653 cases at that time.

Of that total, 5,777 remain active in federal court and 871 were pending in state court.

These statistics do not include filed but unserved cases. I've also been informed by the defense side that

this does not include claimants. This only includes filings. I believe Mr. Beck has the number of claimants. He gave it to me this morning. I believe it's at 11,500 and some, if that's correct, or you can correct me on what the number of actual claimants represented within these filings are.

2.1

2.2

The next item is a list of plaintiffs' counsel has been provided electronically last week to the PSC. So we are getting updated lists of plaintiffs' counsel by the defendants and we are getting that electronically.

So that's the status on pending cases. I don't know if Mr. Beck has anything to add on pending cases.

MR. BECK: No, Your Honor.

MR. ZIMMERMAN: Settlement, Your Honor.

Defendants and the plaintiffs have settled 2,968 cases with a total settlement value of \$1,130,668,591. The federal cases, in other words, MDL cases, settled within that number are 875, or at least cases that are subject to an MDL assessment, with a total value of cases subject to an MDL assessment of \$328,513,412.54.

This is up from the last status of approximately 112 cases, if I did my quick math correctly. Defendants had settled as of the last status 2,856 cases for a total value of \$1,096,000,000 roughly. The MDL cases went up from 727 cases settled to 875 cases settled.

Approximately 136 cases remain in the MDL mediation — have been submitted to the MDL mediation program. I'm not sure if that's the number that remain within that program or some of those have been resolved. I think we will get a report from Special Master Remele on that later in the agenda, but I know that 136 cases have been submitted to the MDL mediation program for either mediation or referrals to determine if they are mediatable under the existing protocol for mediations.

2.1

2.2

In addition to the mediation program, Your Honor, the PSC has continued to negotiate a certain number of cases directly with Bayer, which means that they don't get into the mediation program, the negotiations continue on a one-to-one basis.

I would add this as a matter of comment. It was not agreed to be put into the agenda. However, it is the PSC's position that we should be expanding that mediation program to include not just cases that have a strict definition of rhabdo as defined by Bayer.

I believe that's been on -- that's been said from my lips before and we simply put that before the Court as food for thought to expand the mediation program, do not just the strict definition of rhabdo as has been traditionally applied, which has resulted in the approximately 3,000 settlements to date.

The mediator -- the next item is the mediator's report, the report of Special Master Remele, and I don't see him.

THE COURT: Mr. Remele had to go to another hearing.

2.1

2.2

SPECIAL MASTER HAYDOCK: I asked for his bowtie,
Your Honor, but he wouldn't let me wear his bowtie. So you
will have to just pretend that I've got a bowtie on.

Special Master Remele asked me to report to you that the information in the status report is accurate and that there are several cases that are in the process of being scheduled to mediate.

THE COURT: Thank you.

MR. ZIMMERMAN: The next item is just a matter that's been on the agenda for some time. It has to do with the re-designation of previously designated confidential documents. As the Court knows, the defendants had to re-designate because there was overdesignation of confidentiality.

And we are still considering the appropriateness of filing a motion to recover the costs associated with having to preview, monitor, and bring up for the Court the inappropriate designation of confidentiality pursuant to PTO 99. Defendants will vigorously oppose that motion if and when we bring it, as I understand it.

1 Discovery, Your Honor, is the next item. 2 Mr. Beck, did you have any items with respect to --3 MR. BECK: No, Your Honor. MR. ZIMMERMAN: Discovery. This Court has stayed 4 Defendants have requested the Court to reinstate 5 PTO 131. 114 and commence case specific discovery. The plaintiffs 6 7 vigorously oppose state specific -- case specific discovery in this MDL and seek remand of cases to the transferor court 8 9 for further proceedings. I believe that's before the Court 10 and we are submitting it on the briefs. 11 In Pennsylvania -- this is, I believe, just an 12 item of commentary that I had actually objected to in the --13 MR. BECK: Do you want me to read it, then? 14 MR. ZIMMERMAN: Yeah. It really shouldn't come 15 out of my lips. 16 MR. BECK: I will read it. In Pennsylvania state court, where the plaintiffs have been required to submit 17 18 case specific expert reports for all nonrhabdo cases and 19 where all cases are subject to discovery, only 21 active 20 cases remain of the more than 4,000 cases that have been 2.1 filed there. 2.2 MR. ZIMMERMAN: And again my comment would be of course that doesn't take into consideration all the cases 23 that were settled. So we don't know if those were all 24 25 dismissed with prejudice or without prejudice or they were

settled as rhabdo cases, but I'm sure the comments speak for itself -- I mean the facts speak for themselves.

2.1

2.2

Next is depositions of generic expert witnesses.

They are being completed. I think they are just about really completed. There is a very minor number that would have to be finished that haven't been completed.

This goes to the question of the next step in these proceedings. Defendants are seeking <u>Daubert</u> briefing pursuant to PTO 120 to be continued with case specific discovery. And as you know, the PSC opposes globalization or any kind of <u>Daubert</u> hearings at this time. Again, I think that just remains under advisement.

We have further noticed -- the PSC has noticed the depositions of Bayer AG witnesses Wenning and Schneider.

Defendants have moved for a protective order. This matter is also under advisement and held under abeyance pursuant to the last orders of this Court in December.

There are other motions, Your Honor, that are being briefed. There is the Plaintiff Norman V. Whiteside brief regarding discovery order. Frankly, I'm not sure -- is that the one --

MS. WEBER: That is a prisoner who is looking for appointment of counsel or remand. We have been talking with the PSC about that.

(612) 664-5104

THE COURT: All right.

1 MR. ZIMMERMAN: Right. And our position there, 2 Your Honor, is we are going to advise Mr. Whiteside of his in forma pauperis -- what is the Latin term? 3 MS. WEBER: Your Honor, the standard for 4 appointment of counsel would require him to establish in the 5 first instance his pauper status, which he has not done. 6 7 And our understanding with plaintiffs is that they will, without undertaking his representation, provide him some 8 background information on what his obligations would be. 9 10 And then at that time if he wants to file a brief seeking 11 appointment of counsel, he can do it at that stage. But we 12 will submit something to the Court in the near future. 13 THE COURT: Thank you. Mr. Zimmerman, make sure 14 you talk to Ms. Halfman. She will give you the name and 15 telephone number of the program that the Court uses. What I've forgotten. 16 is it? 17 THE CLERK: Volunteer Lawyers 18 THE COURT: Yeah, Volunteer Lawyers. 19 MR. ZIMMERMAN: I will do that, Your Honor. 20 The following motions are held in abeyance 2.1 pursuant to PTO 138: Plaintiffs' renewed motion for class certification 2.2 23 and Bayer and GSK's motion to strike essentially the class 24 action complaint or master complaint. 25 As a matter of information, the Court should be

advised that between the filing of that motion for class certification and defendants' motion to strike we were advised that a class was certified of third party payer -- a nationwide class of third party payers was certified in Pennsylvania.

2.1

2.2

We are reviewing those filings to determine if an appropriate motion for a third party payer certification should be made in this court and attached or amended into our class certification. The defendants contend that the rationale set forth in the Court's previous orders on class certification would preclude this certification or any certification.

Again, that matter has not been briefed yet, it's only been filed. At some point we may have to seek a briefing schedule on those matters before the Court.

The next motion, Your Honor, is the Joyce Alfred motion to fix for trial or in the alternative to transfer to Alabama U.S. District Court. I don't really know exactly the status of that. Is that a remand motion?

MS. WEBER: The case was filed in this district, so it wouldn't technically be a remand motion. It was a request to fix it for trial either here or to transfer it under the venue provision. But there's been no briefing on it to date, Your Honor.

MR. ZIMMERMAN: There is also, which I had

mentioned before, but I think it's just redundant, number 4,
Bayer's motion for a protective order precluding the
depositions of Wenning and Schneider. That is under
advisement.

There's also another motion, I believe, that didn't make it on the calendar that had to do with plaintiffs' motion for case specific experts or something like that. Do you remember that, Susan? I just ran across it.

MS. WEBER: Oh, right. There may actually be a couple of motions that were held in abeyance, because I was thinking of one that the defendants had filed directed to Special Master Remele's report. The one Bucky is referring to is the plaintiffs have moved to require defendants to file case specific expert reports.

THE COURT: Right.

2.1

2.2

MR. ZIMMERMAN: And I believe that was filed back in December and that's also held in abeyance. It just slipped through and we didn't see it to get it on the agenda. I think we both missed it.

Next is C, Your Honor. It says plaintiffs intend to object to Magistrate Judge Lebedoff's report and recommendation declining to reinstate certain of plaintiffs' claims represented by the Branch law firm. Those claims were dismissed for failure to comply with PTO 81.

I believe the Branch law firm has, in fact, filed their objection. I believe it is of record. I have not had a chance to look at it at this time because I believe it came in today or over the weekend or Friday.

2.1

2.2

But the Zimmerman Reed law firm, because we were local counsel, did file a motion pursuant to Rule 60. All of this has to be re-reviewed in light of the filing by the Branch law firm.

I would suggest that we just put it off now. I don't think the defense have had a chance to look at it. We will have some discussions about it and at the next status, or before, we'll advise the Court how to treat it. I don't know what Mr. Beck's position is.

MR. BECK: Yes, Your Honor. We also would like a chance to review the most recent filings and assess what our position should be in light of --

THE COURT: We will continue the matter to the next status conference.

MR. ZIMMERMAN: Trial settings, Your Honor. There are no trial settings for cases in the MDL and a list of state court trial settings has been provided to us, which we received on the 6th -- actually got it on the 7th. It was sent on the 6th of April.

And there does appear to be a short list of matters set for trial starting May 16th in the Court of

1	Common Pleas in Philadelphia and running through January of
2	'07, I guess. Maybe Susan or someone wants to report on the
3	status of that, but I believe the Court has received a copy.
4	THE COURT: I have received a copy. There's no
5	need for a report unless there's something that's unusual
6	about any of the cases.
7	MS. WEBER: One of the cases on the schedule for
8	Philadelphia has already been dismissed subsequent to us
9	sending you the schedule.
10	MR. ZIMMERMAN: The last item, Your Honor, on the
11	agenda is the other special master, the nonbowtied
12	SPECIAL MASTER HAYDOCK: Special Master Remele
13	will come back and give my report. Actually, I have nothing
14	to report, Judge.
15	THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
16	MR. ZIMMERMAN: Your Honor, that does conclude
17	the
18	THE COURT: Mr. Beck, anything you want to add to
19	the report?
20	MR. BECK: No, Your Honor.
21	THE COURT: Thank you very much. We will stand in
22	adjournment.
23	(Court adjourned at 12:40 p.m.)
24	* * *
25	

1	
2	
3	I, Lori A. Simpson, certify that the foregoing is a
4	correct transcript from the record of proceedings in the
5	above-entitled matter.
6	
7	
8	Certified by:
9	Lori A. Simpson, RMR-CRR
10	Dated: April 13, 2005
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	