

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF MINNESOTA**

In re: VEHICLE TRACKING AND  
SECURITY SYSTEM ('844) PATENT  
LITIGATION

MDL No. 11-2249 (DWF/SER)

This Document Relates to All Actions

**PRETRIAL ORDER NO. 2**

On February 1, 2012, the Court held a Status Conference in the above-entitled matter. Based upon the presentations of the parties, and the Court being otherwise duly advised, the Court hereby enters the following:

**ORDER**

1. The Court will hold a follow-up status conference on March 22, 2012, beginning at 9:00 a.m. The Court will first meet with all represented parties at 9:00 a.m. in chambers and conduct any on-the-record discussions in the courtroom at 10:00 a.m.
2. No later than February 17, 2012, the parties shall submit a proposed Rule 26(f) scheduling order. The parties shall attach an addendum to the proposed scheduling order detailing a proposed procedure for informal motion practice. *See In re: Guidant Corp. Implantable Defibrillators Products Liability Litigation*, Pretrial Order No. 2 (Doc. No. 11 in Case No. 05-md-1708) at ¶ 21, prepared by the undersigned as it relates to status conferences, attached as Exhibit A.
3. No later than Friday, February 10, 2012, the parties shall simultaneously submit letter briefs (not exceeding 5 pages) addressing the issue of who should proceed

first with specified disclosures.<sup>1</sup> Upon receipt of the letter briefs, the Court will make a decision and issue an order promptly.

Dated: February 7, 2012

s/Donovan W. Frank  
DONOVAN W. FRANK  
United States District Judge

---

<sup>1</sup> Defendants assert that Plaintiff should proceed first with specific infringement disclosures relating to each allegedly infringing device of which the Plaintiff is aware and that these disclosures should be as specific as possible, for example identifying each device by name or model number, if known. Plaintiff asserts that Defendants should proceed first by identifying the specific fleet management systems used by each Defendant.